arXiv:1511.02776v1 [cond-mat.soft] 13 Oct 2015

Explicit formulas for reaction probability in reaction-diffusion experiments

M. Wallace*[1], R. Feres†[1], G. Yablonsky‡[2] and A. Stern§[1]

[1]Department of Mathematics, Washington University
[2]Parks College of Engineering, Aviation and Technology, Saint Louis University

Abstract

A computational procedure is developed for determining the conversion probability for reaction-diffusion systems in which a first-order catalytic reaction is performed over active particles. We apply this general method to systems on metric graphs, which may be viewed as 1-dimensional approximations of 3-dimensional systems, and obtain explicit formulas for conversion. We then study numerically a class of 3-dimensional systems and test how accurately they are described by model formulas obtained for metric graphs. The optimal arrangement of active particles in a 1-dimensional multiparticle system is found, which is shown to depend on the level of catalytic activity: conversion is maximized for low catalytic activity when all particles are bunched together close to the point of gas injection, and for high catalytic activity when the particles are evenly spaced.

1 Introduction

We consider the following idealized experiment. An amount of a reactant gas of species A is injected into an initially evacuated chemical reactor. The reactor, which has arbitrary but well defined shape represented by a region U in 3-dimensional space, is filled with a chemically inert solid material that is permeable to gas diffusion. For example, the reactor may be filled with closely packed small inert particles creating a network of channels in the

*One Brookings Dr., St. Louis, MO, 63130; matt@math.wustl.edu
†One Brookings Dr., St. Louis, MO, 63130; feres@math.wustl.edu
‡3450 Lindell Blvd, St. Louis, MO, 63103; gyablons@slu.edu
§One Brookings Dr., St. Louis, MO, 63130; astern@math.wustl.edu

packing interstitial void through which gas can diffuse. A solid catalytic material promoting the irreversible reaction $A \to B$, where A and B are gas species that can diffuse through U, is embedded into the packed bed of the reactor at specified places. At any given time, the mixture of reactant and product gases is allowed to escape the reactor through part of the boundary of U designated as the reactor exit, while the outside of U is kept at near vacuum. The composition of the gas mixture $A + B$ exiting U is analyzed and after U is eventually emptied of all gas the molar fraction of B in the total gas outflow is measured. We refer to this fraction by α and call it the reaction *conversion*, or *conversion probability*. The main concern of this paper is the theoretical determination of α for a variety of systems. More specifically, our focus in this paper is on the functional dependence of α on the reaction rate constant and on the geometric configuration of the system, as will be explained in detail shortly. The analytical and numerical results described here are based on the general ideas developed in [2], which will be summarized later in the paper.

The above description is meant to capture the essential features of the experimental technique known as *Temporal Analysis of Products* (TAP) used for studying primarily heterogeneous catalysis involving gases and complex solid materials. TAP experiments are based on technology invented by J. Gleaves [3] and methodology developed by J. Gleaves and G. Yablonsky [4]. See [10] and the references [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] for a detailed explanation of the TAP method.

In an illustrative TAP experiment, one considers CO oxidation in the presence of Pt. The actual TAP reactor has the shape of a small cylinder in which reactant gas is injected at the center of the circular backside and product gases exit at the opposite (open) side. The reactor's inert region is uniformly packed with non-porous small particles of quartz, creating a medium that can be characterized by essentially constant diffusivity. Diffusion is generally assumed to proceed in the Knudsen regime, in which the mean free path of the gas molecules is comparable or longer than the length scale of the small network of voids produced by the packing. It is natural to model the motion of gas molecules by mathematical Brownian motion (or Wiener process) as this motion corresponds macroscopically to ordinary Fickian diffusion. The catalytic zones may consist of particles having similar size distribution as in the inert region. These zones are kept at a constant temperature. Although the precise reaction mechanism resulting in the overall reaction $2CO + O_2 \to 2CO_2$ may be complex, one is often justified in representing the kinetic dependence as a simple first order kinetic expression. In this example, the quantity α of interest to us is the molar

fraction of CO_2 in the total gas outflow.

Below is a summary description of the structure of the paper.

- In section 2 we review an effective procedure developed in [9, 8] and elaborated in [2] for obtaining conversion α under very general conditions, based on solving a time-independent boundary value problem for either Laplace's equation in certain cases or, more generally, a Feynman-Kac operator. This procedure was introduced in [8] and [9], and has been developed in much greater mathematical detail in [2]. It is a rather straightforward method that should be contrasted with the approach of [4], for example, which depends on first solving the reaction diffusion equations for the concentration of the gas product.

- In many cases of interest the reaction-diffusion system in dimension 3 can be approximated by one-dimensional graph models, in terms of so-called *metric graphs*. For these models it is possible to obtain exact analytic solutions for α as will be seen in section 3. The basic idea is from [2]. For a graph model having arbitrary topology but a single active node, α depends on the reaction constant[1] κ according to $\alpha = C\tau\kappa/(1+\tau\kappa)$, where the coefficients C and τ are independent of κ and depend on purely geometric features of the graph including the position of the active node, the location of the exit set of nodes, and in the case of C the choice of node of gas injection.

- In section 4 we investigate via numerical experiments some 3-dimensional examples of systems that are similar to TAP-configurations; that is, cylindrical reactors with one or more catalyst pellets. Our main interest is to determine, in the case of a single pellet placed at varying positions and having a variety of simple shapes, how well α can be approximated by the general formula given above for a graph model with a single active node; and to find out how τ and C may depend on position and shape parameters of the catalyst pellet. This section contains some of the main new results of the paper.

- An interesting general problem is to determine the optimal arrangement of catalyst particles that maximizes α. We touch on this optimization problem very briefly by describing what happens for the linear chain of thin zones example of Figure 2. We propose a con-

[1] More precisely, κ is proportional to the reaction constant k in the way explained in Section 2.

jectural picture of how the optimal configuration should in general depend on the reaction constant.

2 A general procedure for obtaining α

This section summarizes the main mathematical facts concerning the class of boundary value problems from which the reaction conversion α can be obtained. More details and the justification of the method can be found in [2, 8, 9]. Reference [2], in particular, provides a derivation based on a stochastic formulation and analysis of the problem.



Figure 1: This diagram summarizes some of the notation we use in the boundary value problem for the determination of the survival function ψ.

We refer to Figure 1 for a few definitions and notations concerning the domain of diffusion, also referred to as the *reactor*, denoted by U. It is convenient to formulate the problem in terms of the *survival function* $\psi(\mathbf{x})$, representing the probability that a single gas molecule of type A, injected into U at the initial position $\mathbf{x}$, will eventually leave U through the exit boundary Γ_a without having converted into B. The complementary probability is then $\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - \psi(\mathbf{x})$. We assume that gas molecules diffuse through the reactor bed U under ordinary (Fickian) diffusion having constant diffusivity, denoted $\mathscr{D}$. This amounts to the assumption that the trajectories of individual gas molecules correspond to sample paths of mathematical Brownian motion.

In real TAP experiments active particles may consist of a polycrystalline material forming a complex structure of pores and other features at various length scales. The reaction takes place on the surface. By expressing α in terms of solutions to a boundary value problem as we do below, we are effectively assuming that all this complexity can be reduced to three basic

configurations of the catalyst material that we refer to as active sites of types I, II, III:

1. *Active sites of type I.* The active region comprises a subset of U that is permeable to gas diffusion, where the reactant gas A has constant diffusivity $\mathscr{D}$ equal to that of the inert region of U. Let $q = q(\mathbf{y})$ be the indicator function of this region, defined by having value 1 if $\mathbf{y}$ lies in the region and 0 if not. The reaction rate is then kq where k is the reaction constant, which has the ordinary physical dimension 1/[time].

2. *Active sites of type II.* The active region is the union of the surface boundaries of one or more solid, non-permeable catalyst particles assumed to have definite regular shapes. The interior of each such particle is not regarded as being part of U while the particle boundary surface is supposed to have a well defined unit normal vector field. We call these surfaces active sites of *type* II. The reaction constant in this case will be denoted by κ, a quantity having physical dimension 1/[distance]. The relationship between κ and k will be discussed below. The former is similar to a Damköhler number of type II, although we avoid this terminology here and simply refer to κ as the *surface reaction constant.*

3. *Active sites of type III.* The active region consists of regular surfaces (having a well-defined normal vector field) that are porous to the passage of gas, forming transition boundaries between inert regions. We also refer to these sites as *active thin zones.* The reaction constant for this type of active site will be denoted κ and is equal to the constant for sites of type II.

The surface boundary of U is the union of three types of surfaces:

1. Γ_a is the *exit boundary* through which gas escapes from U ('a' stands for "absorbing");

2. Γ_c is the union of the active surfaces of type II;

3. Γ_r is the remaining of the boundary of U, where r stands for "reflecting."

Theorem 1 (See [2, 9, 8])**.** *If the overall reaction constant is k then $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies*

$$\mathscr{D}\Delta\psi - kq\psi = 0 \text{ on } U$$

with the following boundary conditions, where $\mathbf{n}$ *is a unit normal vector field to* Γ_r*:*

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_r$$
$$\psi = 1 \text{ on } \Gamma_a.$$

The effect of the active regions of types II and III are captured by a Robin boundary condition on Γ_c *and by a transition (discontinuity) condition for the normal derivative of* ψ *on active surfaces, respectively. Thus, for a new constant* κ *to be defined shortly,*

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \psi = \kappa \psi \text{ on } \Gamma_c$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ *is the unit normal vector fields on the surface of catalyst particles pointing out into* U *and*

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla^+ \psi - \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla^- \psi = \kappa \psi$$

on each transition surface S*, where* $\mathbf{n}$ *is a normal vector field on* S *and* $\nabla^\pm \psi$ *indicates the limit values of the gradient of* ψ *when the surface is approached from behind (−) or from the front (+), according to the direction of* $\mathbf{n}$*.*

It remains to clarify the relationship between k and κ. The former has physical dimension of reciprocal of time and the latter reciprocal of distance. Let us imagine that each catalyst particle is surrounded by an active "collar region," or thin shell, and that each active transition surface S has a definite width, so that these active sites can be regarded as being of type I. In other words, there is a zone of catalytic activity around each active particle to which we attribute a width δ. Similarly for thin zones, or sites of type III. By a standard approximation argument using the divergence theorem, we obtain the relation

$$\kappa = \frac{\delta k}{\mathscr{D}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Because the systems we are going to investigate in greater detail below only involve active regions of type II and III, it is κ rather than k that will appear in our formulas for conversion α. Let us denote by $\alpha_\kappa(\mathbf{x})$ the value of conversion for rate constant κ and initial point of gas injection $\mathbf{x}$. This quantity has the following probabilistic interpretation:

$\alpha_\kappa(\mathbf{x})$ = probability that an A-molecule initially at $\mathbf{x}$ reacts before leaving U.

The limit of $\alpha_k(\mathbf{x})$ for large values of κ, denoted $\alpha_\infty(\mathbf{x})$, is the probability that the gas molecule started at $\mathbf{x}$ visits an active site before leaving the

reactor. This hitting probability is a well-studied quantity in probability theory that can be obtained by solving a Dirichlet boundary value problem for Laplace's equation. Thus our main concern here is the determination of $\alpha_\kappa(\mathbf{x})/\alpha_\infty(\mathbf{x})$. This quantity may be interpreted as the *conditional conversion*, or the conversion probability of a reactant molecule conditional on the molecule actually hitting the catalyst.

3 Metric graph approximation

Although the aforementioned procedure for computing α can be implemented numerically quite effectively (examples will be given below), it is useful to have model configurations of the reaction-diffusion system that can be solved analytically. We are particularly interested in determining explicitly how $\alpha_\kappa(\mathbf{x})/\alpha_\infty(\mathbf{x})$ depends on κ. Such explicit formulas can then serve as a basis of comparison to guide the investigation of more complicated systems.



Figure 2: A cylindrical reactor with n active thin zones of type III. Gas is injected at position 0 along the axis of the cylinder and the exit is the open side at L. We call this the *linear chain of thin zones* example with n catalyst sites.

In this section we explore a class of model systems defined on metric graphs. (See [2] for more details.) Let us first consider the relatively simple example indicated in Figure 2 to illustrate the main ideas.



Figure 3: The metric graph representing the example of Figure 2. Here $l_j = x_j - x_{j-1}$. Edges are labeled with lengths, ∅ indicates an exit node, a simple dot indicates an inert node, and ⊙ represents an active (permeable) node. Nodes connected to a single edge, like the leftmost one in this figure, are reflecting, except for exit nodes.

If we make the simplifying assumption that gas is injected over the part of the reactor boundary at coordinate $x = 0$ along the cylinder axis (the circular backside; see Figure 2), uniformly over the points of that backside, then by symmetry the solution of the boundary value problem for conversion only depends on the variable x. The problem thus reduces to dimension 1. See Figure 3.



Figure 4: A network model with one catalyst particle at the node v_c. See Figure 2 for the convention we are using to represent the different types of nodes.

More generally, we may consider network models as in Figure 4 specified by the following data: a set $\mathscr{V}$ of nodes (or vertices) which contains a subset $\mathscr{V}_c$ of active nodes and the set $\mathscr{V}_\varnothing$ of exit nodes, all other nodes being inert; and a set $\mathscr{E}$ of edges connecting pairs of nodes, each edge assigned a length. In our network diagrams inert vertices are indicated by a dot "$\cdot$", active nodes by "$\odot$", and exit nodes by "$\varnothing$". If e is an edge, we let $|e|$ denote its length. It is convenient to introduce the function $q(v)$ on the set of nodes such that $q(v) = 1$ if $v \in \mathscr{V}_c$ is an active node, and $q(v) = 0$ if v is inert. For each node v let $\mathscr{E}_v$ indicate the set of edges having v as one of its two endnodes. If e is an element of $\mathscr{E}_v$ then $r(e)$ will be used to indicate the other end of e opposite to v. The *degree* $\deg(v)$ of a node v is defined as the number of edges issuing from v. With this notation in place, the boundary value problem for the survival function ψ restricted to $\mathscr{V}$ reduces to the system of linear equations:

$$\sum_{e \in \mathscr{E}_v} |e|^{-1} \psi(r(e)) = \left(\kappa \deg(v) q(v) + \sum_{e \in \mathscr{E}_v} |e|^{-1} \right) \psi(v) \text{ if } v \text{ is not in } \mathscr{V}_\varnothing \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\psi(v) = 1 \text{ if } v \text{ lies in } \mathscr{V}_\varnothing. \quad (3.2)$$

For more details, see [2]. Thus, for such network models, finding $\alpha_\kappa(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - \psi(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{x}$ is now a node of the metric graph, reduces to the elementary

problem of solving a system of linear equations.

It is shown in [2] that the solution to this system can be expressed as follows. For each $v \in \mathscr{V}_c$ there is a polynomial $\tau_v(\kappa)$ in κ of degree less than the number of active nodes such that

$$\alpha_\kappa(\mathbf{x}) = P(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{v \in \mathscr{V}_c} P_v(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\tau_v(\kappa)}{\tau(\kappa)}$$

where $\tau(\kappa)$ is a polynomial in κ of degree less than or equal to the number of active nodes, $P(\mathbf{x})$ is the probability that a diffusing molecule started at $\mathbf{x}$ hits the set $\mathscr{V}_c$ before reaching the exit set $\mathscr{V}_\varnothing$, and $P_v(\mathbf{x})$ is the probability that the molecule hits the active set first at v. We give in the next subsections a few concrete examples.

Metric graphs, also known as quantum graphs, have been extensively used to describe a variety of phenomena. A detailed survey of the literature can be found in [1]. We emphasize that, in the present application, diffusion is restricted to the edges of the graph, hence it is fundamentally 1-dimensional. This is the essential simplification afforded by these systems. On the other hand, more complicated higher dimensional systems can be approximated by such 1-dimensional systems if the graph architecture is suitably chosen.

3.1 General solution for a single active site

It is shown in [2] that for the special case of network models with a single catalyst node the following general expression for $\alpha_\kappa(\mathbf{x})$ holds:

$$\alpha_\kappa(\mathbf{x}) = P(\mathbf{x}, v_c) \frac{\tau\kappa}{1 + \tau\kappa} \tag{3.3}$$

where v_c is the node containing the catalyst and $\mathbf{x}$ is any point of gas injection. See Figure 4. The quantity $P(\mathbf{x}, v_c)$ is the probability that the diffusing molecule started at $\mathbf{x}$ will hit v_c before leaving at one of the exit nodes indicated in the figure by $\varnothing$.

The quantity τ is purely geometric and does not depend on κ. It contains information about the lengths of edges, degrees of nodes and the positions of v_c and the exit nodes. We recall that the *degree* of a node v, denoted $\deg(v)$, is the number of edges issuing from that node. Roughly speaking, τ may be viewed as the expected total time that the diffusing molecule spends at v_c conditional on the molecule actually passing through v_c.[2] We may write 3.3

[2] Clearly, τ cannot be an actual time parameter as it has physical dimension of distance. The precise meaning of τ requires the probabilistic notion of *local time* and is explained in [2].



Figure 5: A short bestiary of elementary network models. Recall: α_∞ is the probability that a diffusing molecule hits a catalyst node; τ is the parameter in $\alpha_\kappa/\alpha_\infty = \tau\kappa/(1+\tau\kappa)$. The label near an edge is its length; $\odot$ indicates a catalyst node, $\varnothing$ is an exit node and $\cdot$ is an inert node, reflecting if its degree is 1. The point of injection is the leftmost node. These examples are all described by Equation 3.3.

alternatively as

$$\frac{\alpha_\kappa(\mathbf{x})}{\alpha_\infty(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\tau\kappa}{1+\tau\kappa}. \tag{3.4}$$

Some examples of model networks are shown in Figure 5. Their respective α_κ are obtained by solving the linear system 3.1 and are shown to satisfy Equation 3.4 with the indicated values of α_∞ and τ. The point of injection $\mathbf{x}$ is the leftmost node in all cases.

For example, for the third graph from the top on the left column of Figure 5

$$\alpha_\kappa = \frac{\deg(v_c)l\kappa}{1+\deg(v_c)l\kappa}.$$

Note that conversion, in this example, grows with the number $\deg(v_c)$ of edges issuing from the active node, although only the length of the edge leading to the exit node enters into the formula. The other edges have the same effect no matter how long or short they are, so long as their length is positive. Said differently:

By adding reflecting, inert nodes in the vicinity of an active node we increase the residence time at the latter node and consequently increase conversion for the network as a whole.



Figure 6: In both graphs, the single active node has the same reaction constant κ but the effective constant, which we define as $\kappa_{\text{eff}} = \tau\kappa$, is six times greater for the graph on the left, whose constant is denoted κ^*_{eff}. More generally, $\kappa^*_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2}\deg(v_c)\kappa_{\text{eff}}$, where $\deg(v_c)$ is the degree of the active node of the graph on the left.

This property may suggest a way to design the active units so as to increase their activity. See Figure 6. In this example, the active unit consists of one active node surrounded by inactive ones forming a system of "spokes." Note that diffusion can only occur along the spokes connecting the active node to each of the inactive nodes. The effect is to greatly increase the residence time (technically, the local time of the Brownian path at the active node) thus increasing the effective level of activity of the active unit. It is interesting to observe that the length of the spokes is irrelevant since only their number, or the degree $\deg(v_c)$ of the active node, enters into the expression of conversion for this example.

3.2 Conversion for the linear chain of thin zones model

The reader is referred to the linear chain of thin zones example of Figure 2 and its network model of Figure 3. We assume that the point of gas injection is the leftmost node (at $x = 0$). Let n be the number of catalyst nodes and $l_1, \ldots, l_{n+1}$ the edge lengths, so that $l_j = x_j - x_{j-1}$. The system of equations for the survival function $\psi(v_j)$ evaluated at the nodes $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n$ are in this case $\psi(v_0) = \psi(v_1), \psi(v_{n+1}) = 1$ and

$$\frac{\psi(v_{j+1}) - \psi(v_j)}{l_{j+1}} = \frac{\psi(v_j) - \psi(v_{j-1})}{l_j} + k\psi(v_j) \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Important: in this subsection only, we have chosen to think of δ (see the relation 2.1) as the width of an active region around a node rather than the radius in order to conform to the discussion in [8] and elsewhere. Therefore, κ here is actually half of the κ appearing in Equation 3.1. For other networks we let our κ be again as in Equation 3.1, which we think is a more natural convention.

It can then be shown, by solving the above system, that

$$\alpha_\kappa(0) = \frac{f_{n+1} - 1}{f_{n+1}}$$

where f_{n+1} is the last number in the sequence $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n+1}$ obtained recursively by

$$f_1 = 1, \quad f_{j+1} = f_j + l_{j+1}\,(f_1 + \cdots + f_j)\,\kappa, \text{ for } j = 2, \ldots, n.$$

Thus, for a single catalyst site, the conversion probability is

$$\alpha_\kappa(0) = \frac{l\kappa}{1 + l\kappa}$$

where l is the distance from the catalyst node to the exit at $x = L$. For two catalyst nodes we obtain

$$\alpha_\kappa(0) = \frac{(l_2 + 2l_3)\kappa + l_2 l_3 \kappa^2}{1 + (l_2 + 2l_3)\kappa + l_2 l_3 \kappa^2}. \tag{3.5}$$

We may ask in this case: What is the optimal configuration of nodes? That is, what should be the values of l_j that maximize conversion? Note that $\alpha_\kappa(0)$ increases monotonically with $f_{n+1}(\kappa)$ as a function of κ. When κ is small relative to the lengths l_j then it is easily seen that $f_{n+1}(\kappa) = 1 +$

$(l_2 + 2l_3 + \cdots + nl_{n+1})\kappa +$ higher order terms in κ. This expression is equivalent to

$$f_{n+1}(\kappa) = 1 + [(L - x_1) + (l - x_2) + \cdots + (l - x_n)]\,\kappa + \text{higher order terms in } \kappa.$$

On the other hand, when κ is relatively large,

$$f_{n+1}(\kappa) = l_2 l_3 \cdots l_{n+1}\kappa^n + \text{lower order terms in } \kappa.$$

The configuration that maximizes the coefficient of κ^n corresponds to $x_1 = 0$ and

$$x_j = \frac{x_{j-1} + x_{j+1}}{2} \text{ for } j = 2, \ldots, n.$$

Thus we are led to the following qualitative conclusion:

When κ is sufficiently small, conversion is maximized by having all the catalyst nodes bunched together right at the point of gas injection. When κ is very large, the optimal configuration for conversion approaches that in which the catalyst nodes are equally spaced along the line of the reactor.

This phenomenon is illustrated in Figure 7 for a linear chain system with 20 catalyst sites. It is interesting to observe how, for each given value of κ, the catalyst nodes that have already detached themselves from the group bunched at 0 are fairly equally spaced among themselves.

4 Numerical experiments

In this section we obtain numerically the conversion α for a variety of 3-dimensional reactor configurations that can potentially be studied experimentally in so-called TAP (Temporal Analysis of Products)-experiments. The objective here is two-fold: to begin a detailed examination of how α depends on geometric parameters of the system such as position, shape, and distribution of the catalyst particles; and the extent to which formulas derived for network models can be used to approximate the dependence of α on the rate constant κ, for a class of 3-dimensional systems.

More specifically, we have seen that for network models with a single active node the relation $\alpha_\kappa/\alpha_\infty = \tau\kappa/(1 + \tau\kappa)$ always holds, where τ is a constant independent of κ characteristic of the geometric configuration of the system.[3] Thus we wish to investigate whether the same dependence on κ

[3] As explained earlier, τ may be regarded as a proxi for the molecular expected residence time at the active node of the graph, conditional on the molecule passing by that node. The precise probabilistic interpretation of τ as a *local time* is given in [2].



Figure 7: Optimal configuration of a multi-particle thin zone system. For each value of κ along the horizontal axis the vertical axis gives the position of each catalyst particle. Note how the particles one by one detach from the bunch at position 0 as κ increases. For any given value of κ, those particles that have already detached lie at fairly uniform distance from each other.

holds for 3-dimensional configurations with a single, relatively small, catalyst particle. It will be seen that this functional dependence on κ does hold very satisfactorily. On the other hand, the relationship between network models with more than one active node and 3-dimensional systems with more than one catalyst particle is far from obvious.

Figure 8 shows the six configurations we wish to investigate. In every case, the reactor is a cylinder, with the dimensions exactly as shown in the figure, having ratio of length over diameter equal to 5. There may be one or more catalyst particles, which also have a cylindrical shape of varying lengths, radii, positions, and number, and the particles correspond to active regions of type II as defined in Figure 1.

The experiments differ as follows: Experiments (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) are single particle systems; here the main goal is to test the validity of formula 3.4 as far as the dependence on the reaction constant is concerned. In experiments (iii) and (iv), the size of the particle changes and the focus is on how large it can be before the formula for single active node network conversion is no longer valid. Experiment (v) is a two-particle system that is still fairly well approximated by the single node graph model, as will be

seen. Finally, experiment (vi) involves two groups of particles. The goal in this case is to compare with the linear chain network with two active nodes.



Figure 8: Six reactor configurations in dimension 3 that we investigate numerically in this section. The point of injection in all cases is the middle point of the back (left) side of the cylinder.

In experiments (i), (ii), (iii), (v), and (vi) the catalyst particles all have ratio of length over diameter equal to 1, and their diameter is 10 times smaller than the diameter of the reactor. Only in experiments (iii) and (iv) are the shapes of the particles changed.

The boundary value problems in each of the experiments was solved using the computational software FEniCS running on an ordinary PC. In each experiment we give plots for α_k, α_∞ and for τ as a function of the changing parameter of the experiment; for example, the distance from the particle to the exit in experiment (i). The criterion to test the validity of the network model formula for a single active node is based on the relative error in τ (see graphs in Figure 10). This is explained below.

4.1 Experiment i: changing particle position on reactor axis

Consider the configuration (i) shown in Figure 8. A single catalyst particle is placed along the central axis of the reactor. The parameter of interest is the distance l from the particle to the reactor exit on the right end. In this case we wish to know how well the expression $\alpha_\kappa/\alpha_\infty = \tau\kappa/(1+\tau\kappa)$ holds and whether τ depends linearly on l as in the linear chain of active nodes of Figure 3 but with a single node. The graphs of Figure 9 and the top-left graph of Figure 10 show the main results.

The explanation we give here for how to interpret those graphs will also apply to the corresponding graphs of experiments (ii), (iii), (iv), and (v).



Figure 9: Experiment for configuration (i) of Figure 8. The parameter of interest is the distance of the catalyst particle from the reactor exit.

First consider the top graph of Figure 9. It gives values of α_κ (the vertical line of dots above each value of l on the x-axis) for the range of κ indicated near the top left corner. We tested 10 values of l in the interval from 0 to 10 (the length of the reactor is 10 in arbitrary units); and 40 values of k evenly spaced from 1.0 to 100.0 for each value of l. Note that small values of l correspond to catalyst positions close to the exit side of the cylindrical reactor. The graph drawn in solid line on the same plot (towards which the dots accumulate) gives α_∞ as a function of l. Recall that α_∞ is the probability that a gas molecule actually hits the catalyst, whether or not a reaction occurs. This is the same as conversion when the reaction constant is infinite.

As expected, conversion increases as the catalyst particle is placed closer to the injection point. More notable is the lower graph of Figure 9 showing the value of τ as a function of l. The plotted value of τ (that we call, *experimental* τ) is *defined* by

$$\tau_{\text{exp}} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\frac{\alpha_\kappa}{\alpha_\infty}}{1 - \frac{\alpha_\kappa}{\alpha_\infty}}. \tag{4.1}$$

In words, we define τ_{exp} as the value the data would give for τ under the assumption that the single active node graph model were valid. Because for

single active node graphs τ is independent of κ, the degree to which τ_{exp} is insensitive to changing κ is a measure of the validity of formula 3.4 in the 3-dimensional situation.[4]

To determine whether the experimentally obtained τ is approximately constant in κ we look at the top-left plot of Figure 10. The quantity plotted as a function of l, which we call the *relative error of* τ, is defined as the ratio of the standard deviation of τ over the maximum value of τ for the indicated ranges of κ. For example, the top graph (in solid line) of the top-left plot in Figure 10 is obtained as follows: for each of the 10 values of l we compute the standard deviation of the values of τ corresponding to $1.0 \leq \kappa \leq 100$ (the full range of κ) and divide this standard deviation by the maximum value of τ over this range.

We do the same for a few restricted ranges of κ for an indication of where the network approximation is better or worse. For example, the network approximation holds best for the middle range of κ, between approximately 50 and 70, although it seems quite reasonable to conclude that the network approximation is justified by this single particle experiment over most values of κ less than 100.

4.2 Experiment ii: varying the radial distance

Here we wish to determine to what extent τ is affected by varying the position of the catalyst particle along the reactor's radial direction. The particle is assumed to lie at the middle point along the central axis coordinate ($l = 5$) while the radial coordinate of the particle's center, denoted r, varies from 0 to 0.85. Note that the last value of r gives a gap between the particle and reactor wall of 0.05. See the second diagram of Figure 8.

The first conclusion drawn from examining the top-right graph of Figure 10 is that Equation 3.4 for the dependence of α on κ seems to hold as well here as it did in the first experiment. Recall that the relative error measures the failure of τ to be independent of κ; small values of this error supports the validity of approximating the 3-dimensional system by a single active node network model as far as the dependence on κ is concerned.

The dependence of α on r is shown on the top graph of Figure 11. Note that conversion decreases slightly as the particle is placed closer to the wall of the reactor. At the same time, τ does not appear to be much affected by the change in r. This seems to indicate that varying r mainly affects the probability that gas molecules hit the catalyst.

[4]For simplicity, we write τ rather than τ_{exp} on the graphs.


Relative error of τ
$1.0 \le k \le 100.0$
$1.0 \le k \le 49.2$
$51.8 \le k \le 100.0$
$51.8 \le k \le 74.6$
Distance from catalyst particle to exit
Distance of catalyst particle along radius
Radius of catalyst particle
$5.0 \le k \le 100.0$
$5.0 \le k \le 51.3$
$53.7 \le k \le 100.0$
$53.7 \le k \le 75.6$
Length of catalyst particle

Figure 10: The relative error of τ, as defined in the text, measures the degree to which τ_{exp} is independent of κ. A small value for this error indicates that the single particle network model is a good approximation for the 3-dimensional systems.



Figure 11: The catalyst particle is placed at the middle of the reactor at a distance r from the central axis. We computed α for 12 equally spaced values of r, and for each of these values α was obtained for the same range and number of values of κ as in the first experiment. We observe that τ is nearly insensitive to r, although the hitting probability α_∞ decreases slightly as r increases.

4.3 Experiment iii: varying the radius of the particle

In this experiment the position of the catalyst particle is fixed at the middle point along the central axis of the reactor while the parameter of interest is the particle's diameter. We sample 10 equally spaced values of this parameter, from 0.01 to 0.9. The same 40 values of κ as in the previous two experiments are also used here.

The bottom-left graph in Figure 10 gives the relative error in τ as a function of the ratio of the radius of the particle over the radius of the reactor. For values of this ratio less than approximately 0.8 the error is comparable with those for the previous two experiments, but it greatly increases when the particle approaches the diameter of the reactor and becomes a significant obstacle to the passage of gas molecules. This means, in effect, that a catalyst particle of large diameter cannot be reasonably modeled by a single catalyst node network system (a single thin-zone model). It is not clear, however, why the relative error for values of the radius between 0 and 0.7 should have the shape shown. Also note that the main contribution to the relative error in τ comes from the lower range of values of κ. Over the range $50 < \kappa < 100$ the relative error is comparable to that of the previous two experiments.



Figure 12: For the highest value of r the catalyst particle nearly blocks the cylinder; the value of the corresponding τ is approximately 50.

The dependence of α_κ and α_∞ on the catalyst particle radius shown in Figure 12 is, as should be expected, an increasing function. Also τ increases significantly with this radius. Recall that τ serves as a proxi for the expected time a gas molecule spends in the vicinity of the catalyst, assuming that it actually hits the catalyst. With this in mind, it is not surprising that τ should be an increasing function of the radius with no upper bound.

4.4 Experiment iv: varying the length of the particle

In this experiment we fixed the center of the catalyst particle at the middle point of the reactor along the center axis and changed the length of the particle from 0.1 to 5.0 (the latter is half the length of the reactor) in 10 equally spaced values. The diameter of the particle remained fixed at one-tenth of the diameter of the reactor. The graphs of Figure 13 and the lower-right graph of Figure 10 are based on 40 equally spaced values of κ between 5.0 and 100.0, for each value of the length parameter.



Figure 13: The center of the catalyst particle is fixed at the middle point of the reactor while its length changes from 0.1 to 5.0. The diameter of the particle remains constant at one-tenth of the diameter of the reactor.

The first observation to make is that the thin-zone network model approximation seems to hold reasonably well for catalyst lengths up to about 3 (compared to the reactor length 10) as can be seen in Figure 10. For

greater particle lengths the relative error increases significantly and the single particle network system is no longer a good model. Conversion α and the parameter τ, unsurprisingly, increase as the length of the particle increases as shown in Figure 13.

4.5 Experiment v: two particles at the center of the reactor

We now consider two catalyst particles with central axis coordinate equal to half the length of the reactor, placed symmetrically about that axis. We let d denote the distance between the centers of two particles. Thus d varies from 0.1 to 0.9, where reactor diameter is set to 1. (Recall that the diameter of the catalyst particle is one-tenth of the reactor diameter.)

The graphs of Figure 14 and Figure 15 were obtained for 10 equally spaced values of d between 0.1 and 0.9 and for each such value we used the same range and number of values of κ as in experiment (iv). The relative error shown in Figure 15 is comparable to those of experiments (i) and (ii). They are relatively small, which suggests again that the single thin-zone network model captures reasonably well the behavior of this system.

One noteworthy feature of the upper graph of Figure 14 is that it shows the existence of an optimal distance d between the particles at which conversion α is maximized for all values of κ. Maximal conversion is obtained when d is approximately half the reactor diameter. The parameter τ, on the other hand, is not much affected by varying d, suggesting that the change in α is mainly due to the change in hitting probability, α_∞.

This behavior may seem somewhat surprising given that, individually, each particle maximizes α when they are located on the central axis as the upper graph of Figure 11 indicates. (The effect shown in that experiment is small but clearly noticeable.) This suggests that the particles subtract from each other's effect when they are too close. This "interference" property is a bit subtle. Recall from our analysis of the multi thin-zone network model how the optimal arrangement of catalyst particles depends on the value of κ, as shown in Figure 7. This point merits further investigation.

4.6 Experiment vi: two groups of particles

We turn now to multiparticle configuration (vi) of Figure 8. There are $2N$ catalyst particles arranged into two groups of N particles; one group is placed along the central axis a distance from the injection point equal to one-third of the length of the reactor so as to form a set of equally spaced



Figure 14: The two catalyst particles lie at the middle point of the reactor, symmetrically positioned along the radial direction as shown in Figure 8. Note that α is maximized when the two particles are at a distance from each other approximately equal to half the reactor diameter.


$5.0 \leq k \leq 100.0$
$5.0 \leq k \leq 51.3$
$53.7 \leq k \leq 100.0$
$53.7 \leq k \leq 75.6$
Relative error of τ
Distance between catalyst particles

Figure 15: Relative error for the experiment corresponding to configuration (v) of Figure 8.

spokes. The second group is similarly arranged at a distance x from the injection point. When N increases, the system is expected to approximate a two thin-zones system with catalyst zones of type III according to the classification of zones of Figure 1.



Figure 16: Graph of conversion for the configurations described in Figure 8 (vi) with a single particle in each group. The first particle is placed at position 10/3 where 10 is the length of the reactor in arbitrary units.

The natural question here is whether the linear chain graph model with two active nodes is a good approximation of this 3-dimensional system as far as the dependence on κ is concerned. This is equivalent to asking whether the 3-dimensional thin zones system of Figure 2, with two zones, and the 3-dimensional system with two groups of particles behave in a similar way.

We first study the case $N = 1$, that is, the system with two particles placed at different positions along the axis of the cylinder. The fist graph (top left) of Figure 17 makes it clear that in this case Formula 3.5 does not represent well the two-particle system. In fact, if Formula 3.5 held, the experimental $\tau(k)$, defined by 4.1, would obey a linear relation in κ with positive coefficients, but this is clearly not what we see in the graphs of Figure 17, when $N = 1$. Therefore, one must look for more complicated network approximations. (We do not do this here.) On the other hand the dependence of conversion α_κ on κ shown in Figure 16 is qualitatively similar to that of the two thin-zone system.

What is most interesting about α_κ shown in Figure 16 is that conversion



Figure 17: N is the number of catalyst particles in each thin zone layer. For large values of N the system begins to approach the behavior of a two thin-zone network system for which τ is linear in κ with positive coefficients.

attains a local minimum when the two particles are at about the same place. Maximum α_κ occurs, naturally enough, when the second particle is placed very close to the point of injection. As the distance of the second particle from the injection point increases past the position of the first particle conversion initially increases, then reaches a maximum and then decreases as the second particle approaches the reactor exit. Just as we have seen for the multi thin-zone network model, this effect only happens for relatively large values of κ. (Of course, for the network model $\alpha_\infty = 1$, whereas here the hitting probability must clearly be less than 1.)

Finally, we consider the effect of increasing the number $2N$ of particles in the experiment associated to configuration (vi) of Figure 8. The question is whether we can recover the two thin-zone network behavior by making the particles fill up the two zones more and more densely.

More precisely, the question is whether τ approaches for large N the linear dependence on κ expected on the basis of the linear chain network model with two active nodes. This is precisely what the graph of Figure 17 seems to suggest. As N increases, the graph becomes more and more "straight" and seems to approach the straight line expected on the basis of the network model. The quality of this approximation depends on κ: for $\kappa < 20$ and $N \geq 20$ the two-particle linear-chain network is a fairly good model for describing the behavior of τ. As κ increases, the nonlinearity of τ becomes more pronounced.

The experiments of this subsection raise the question of how the multiple thin zones system of Figure 2, which is essentially 1-dimensional, and the multiple particle 3-dimensional systems are related. In some respects they are very different as we see above in the behavior of τ. On the other hand, the behavior of conversion itself is qualitatively similar. This topic requires further analysis but it is not within the scope of this paper.

5 Conclusions

This paper investigates a class of reaction-diffusion systems for first order reaction $A \to B$ in the presence of catalytic particles, where A and B are gas species. A pulse of A is injected into the reactor at a given moment and the mixture of unreacted A and product B is released at the reactor exit after diffusing (under ordinary Fickian diffusion with constant diffusivity) through the reactor bed. We are interested in the ratio α of the number of B molecules over the total number of molecules in the gas outflow, which we call the *conversion probability*. In particular, we are interested in how α

depends on the reaction rate constant as well as on the geometric parameters that define reactor configuration, such as number, shapes and distribution of the solid catalyst material.

The main results of the paper are as follows:

- We have described an effective and straightforward method based on the theory developed in [2] for determining α by solving a time-independent boundary value problem for Laplace's equation that does not require solving first the diffusion equation for the concentrations of A and B. Using this method we have undertaken a systematic study of a class of reactor configurations that can be investigated experimentally in so-called TAP-systems.

- We obtained α analytically for a class of network model systems for which the exact dependence of α on the reaction rate constant and other parameters of the system can be found. For general network models containing a single catalyst node, α takes on the expression

$$\alpha_\kappa = \alpha_\infty \frac{\tau\kappa}{1+\tau\kappa}$$

  where κ is the reaction constant and τ is a function of geometric parameters independent of κ. Here α_∞ is the probability that a gas molecule will hit the catalyst.

- We have tested the degree to which the formulas for α obtained for the network models serve as useful approximations for the behavior of the more realistic 3-dimensional systems. We have found that for a variety of reactor configurations consisting of a cylindrical reactor with a single, relatively small, catalyst particle, the single catalyst node network model provides a resonably good approximation for dependence of α_κ on κ.

- For a single active site it is shown that the site activity can be significantly enhanced by modifying the architecture of the network of channels in the vicinity of the particle. This network determines the local diffusional process and influences residence time at the particle location.

- In the linear-chain multi-particle network, it was shown that for low catalytic activity maximal conversion is obtained by placing all particles close together near to the point of gas injection and for large catalytic activity the optimal configuration is the one in which the particles are equidistant.

- In a series of numerical experiments, we investigated the influence on conversion of many factors: particle position along the reactor axis or along the radius, particle parameters (radius and length of the cylindrical particles), and distance between two particles at the center of the reactor. Of these factors, it was shown that longitudinal position of the particle is the most significant.

- Finally, we investigated the following question: in a cylindrical reactor in which two groups of particles are placed at two cross-sections, roughly evenly spaced in each group, how many particles the two groups should have so that the system behaves like a thin-zone system? More precisely, when is the expression for conversion obtained for the linear-chain, two-particle network a good model for the 3-dimensional system with two groups of N particles? We show that the answer depends on the catalytic activity, κ: the larger the value of κ the greater N is needed to reproduce the behavior of the thin-zone system as far as the equation for computing conversion is concerned.

References

[1] G. Berkolaiko, P. Kuchment. *Introduction to Quantum Graphs*. American Mathematical Society, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, V. 186, 2013.

[2] M. Wallace, R. Feres. *Reaction-diffusion on metric graphs and conversion probability*. Submitted, 2015. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.06976`

[3] J. T. Gleaves, J. R. Ebner, T. C. Kuechler. *Temporal analysis of products (TAP)–a unique catalyst evaluation system with millisecond time resolution*. Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 30 (1988) 49.

[4] J. T. Gleaves, G. S. Yablonsky, P. Phanawadee, Y. Schuurman. *TAP-2: An interrogative kinetics approach*. Appl. Catal. A Gen. 160 (1997) 55-88.

[5] S. O. Shekhtman, G. S. Yablonsky, S. Chen, J. T. Gleaves. *Thin-zone TAP-reactor–theory and application* Chem. Eng. Sci. 54 (1999).

[6] Constales, G. S. Yablonsky, G. B. Marin, J. T. Gleaves. *Multi-zone TAP-reactors: theory and application. 1. The global transfer matrix expression*. Chem. Eng. Sci 56 (2001) 133-149.

[7] J. T. Gleaves, G. S. Yablonsky, X. Zheng, R. Fushimi, P. L. Mills. *Temporal analysis of products (TAP) - Recent advances in technology for kinetic analysis of multicomponent catalysts*. J. Mol. Catal. A Chem. 315 (2010) 108-134.

[8] R. Feres, A. Cloninger, G.S. Yablonsky, J.T. Gleaves. *A general formula for reactant conversion over a single catalyst particle in TAP pulse experiments*. Chemical Engineering Science 64 (2009) 21, 4358-4364.

[9] R. Feres, G.S. Yablonsky, A. Muller, A. Baernstein, X. Zheng, J.T. Gleaves. *Probabilistic analysis of transport-reaction processes over catalytic particles: Theory and experimental testing*. Chemical Engineering Science 64 (2009), pp. 568-581

[10] `https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Temporal_analysis_of_products`.